Groundwater Contamination from Underground Solvent Storage Tanks Santa Clara Valley, CA

Nicholas Sitar Professor Dept. of Civil and Env. Engineering UC Berkeley





Figure 1: Location Map

N. Sitar UC Berkeley - NTUA Presentation - Jan. 13, 2010

SCHEMATIC CROSS SECTION FOR SANTA CLARA SUB-BASIN

Southwest



Note: Arrows indicate direction of groundwater movement without regard to quantity.

From Back et al., The Geology of North America, Volume O-2, GSA, 1988

N. Sitar UC Berkeley – NTUA Presentation – Jan. 13, 2010

Site Plan



Figure 2: Plan of Study Area

N. Situr UC Berkeley - NTUA Presentation - Jan. 13, 2010

Site History

- First tanks installed in 1956
- By 1983 there were 13 tanks with a capacity of 15,000 liters (4000 gallons) each for solvents and one smaller 2000 liters (550 gallons) tank for gasoline
- Contamination in groundwater was detected in 1983

TABLE 2

CHEMICALS DETECTED IN WATER SAMPLES FROM MONITORING WELLS AT THE SITE^{1,2,3}

Concentration in mg/L (ppm)

| Chemical Compound Toluene | 1A 1.2 | 2A 12.0 | <u>3A</u> 1.65 | <u>4A</u> 240 | 5A 6.8 | 6A 1.9 | 7A 1.0 | <u>8B</u> 13.0 | <u>98</u> ND | 0B ND |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Xylene | 6.7 | 24.0 | 46.0 | 38.0 | 23,0 | 6.9 | 15.0 | 11.0 | ND | ND |
| Ethyl Benzene | 3.9 | 7.0 | 16.0 | 9.7 | 4.5 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 2.2 | ND | ND |
| Benzene | 0.1 | ND | NÐ | 0.24 | ND | ND | ND | 5.8 | ND | ND |
| Naphtalene | 0.005 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.06 | ND | ND |
| Phenol | 0.004 | 0.02 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.04 | ND | ND |
| 2,4~Dimethyl Phenol | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | ND | ND | ND | ND | NÐ | ND |
| Methyl Cyclohexane | 0.1 | 5.0 | 50.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | ND | ND | ND |
| Cyclohexane | ND | ND | 2.0 | ND | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | ND | ND | ND |
| Propyl Benzene | 0.08 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.4 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Trimethyl Benzene | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | ND | 0.2 | 0.6 | ND | ND |
| Tetrahydro Naphthalene | 0,02 | 0,02 | 0.04 | ND | 0.04 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 2-Butanone | ND | ND | ND | 1.0 | ND | ND. | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 1.0 | ND | 6.0 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | ND | ND | 1.2 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Chloroform | ND | ND | ND | 0.3 | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.002 | ND |
| 1,1,1-Tri- chlorethane | ND | ND | ND | 0.1 | 0.2 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| ethane | ND | ND | ND | 0.02 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Penanthrene | ND | ND | ND | 100.0 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Cresol | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.04 | ND | ND |
| Methyl Nepthalene | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | 0.03 | ND | ND |

N. Sitar UC Berkeley - NTUA Presentation -

NOTES: 1.ND = Not detected within laboratory detection limits. 2.Analyzed by U.S. EPA Test Method 624 and 625. 3.Samples taken at ground water surface with Teflon bailer. Principal contaminants

Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (NAPLs)

- Toluene: S.G.= 0.87, Solubility 500 ppm,
 B.P.= 111°C
- Xylene: S.G.= 0.86-0.88, Solubility 135-198
 ppm, B.P.= 138°-144°C
- Ethyl Benzene: S.G.= 0.87, Solubility 152
 ppm, B.P.= 136° C
- Benzene: S.G.= 0.88, Solubility 1780 ppm,
 B.P.= 80° C

What we expected: LNAPL above the water table



Experimental data from Pantazidou (1993)









N. Sitar UC Berkeley - NTUA Presentation - Jan. 13, 2010





N. Sitar UC Berkeley - NTUA Presentation - Jan. 13, 2010



Figure 3: Generalized Hydrogeologic Section A-A'

N. Sitar UC Berkeley - NTUA Presentation - Jan. 13, 2010

TABLE 3

COMPARISON OF SOIL AND GROUND WATER SAMPLES TAKEN AT DIPPERENT DEPTHS^{1,2,3}

(Concentrations in mg/kg, ppm)

| | SOIL SAMPLES2 | | WATER SAMPLE | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|---------|------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | <u>91 ft</u> | 154 ft | Top ⁴ | Bottom ⁵ | | |
| Toluene | ND | 4.4 | 1.2 | 2.7 | | |
| Xylene | ND | 14 | 6.7 | 4.9 | | |
| Ethyl Benzene | ND | 14 | 3.9 | 3.4 | | |
| Propyl Benzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.08 | 0.05 | | |
| Trimethyl Benzene | ND | 10 | 0.3 | 0.2 | | |
| WELL NO. 5A | | | | | | |
| | SOIL | SAMPLES | WAT | ER SAMPLES | | |

| | the second se | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|--------|-----|--------|--|--|
| | <u>9 ft</u> | 154 ft | Top | Bottom | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0,1 | 6.8 | 4.9 | | |
| Xylene | 0.8 | 210 | 23 | 13 | | |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.3 | 120 | 4.5 | 1.4 | | |
| Propyl Benzene | ND | 2.0 | 0.4 | ND | | |
| Trimethyl Benzene | ND | 4.0 | 3.0 | 0.3 | | |
| | | | | | | |

WELL NO. 7A

| | SOIL SA | MPLES | WATE | R SAMPLES |
|-------------------|----------|-------|------|-----------|
| | 81 ft 15 | i ft | Top | Bottom |
| Toluene | ND | 0.1 | 1.0 | ND |
| Xylene | 0.5 | 1.2 | 15 | 8.7 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | ND |
| Propyl Benzene | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Trimethyl Benzene | ND | ND | 0.2 | 0.4 |

NOTES:

1 ND = Not detected within laboratory detection limits.

2. Soil samples analyzed by U.S. EPA Test Methods 8240 and 8270.

3. Ground water samples analyzed by U.S. EPA Test Methods 624 and 625.

4. Top - Samples obtained at ground water surface with Teflon bailer.

 Bottom - Samples obtained near the bottom of the water column with submersible bladder pump.

N. Sitar UC Berkeley - NTUA Presentation - Jan. 13, 2010

TABLE 4

PREDOMINANT CHEMICALS DETECTED IN BORINGS B2, B4, B6, AND B8

Concentrations in mg/kg (ppm)

BORING B2

Sample Depth, Feet

| Chemical Compound | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 21 | 23 | 26 i | 311 |
|--------------------|-------|-------|------|--------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|-----|------|-------|
| Toluene | 22 | 8.3 | 63 | 350 | 63 | ND | 15 | ND | 490 | 65 | ND | 65 | 110 |
| Xylene | 61 | 22 | 82 | 81 | 190 | 13 | 35 | 13 | 580 | 43 | - 4 | 13 | 1 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 15 | 5.4 | 20 | 46 | 110 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 130 | 11 | 1.3 | 4.6 | ND |
| Benzene | 0.04 | ND | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | ND | 2.1 | ND | 41 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| Methyl Cyclohexane | 1.6 | 0.7 | 7.3 | 53 | 17 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ΝA | NA |
| Total Hydrocarbons | 99.64 | 36.41 | 72.4 | 530.93 | 380.3 | 21.7 | 60.7 | 21.5 | 1241 | 121.9 | 6.0 | 33.3 | 111.6 |

BORING B4

| Chemical Compound | 9 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 29 | 34 | 39 | 44 |
|--------------------|-----|------|-------|------|-------|------|------|------|
| Toluene | 57 | 1.4 | 56 | 12 | 71 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Xylene | 77 | 18 | 100 | 19 | 500 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 5.9 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 16 | 4.8 | 20 | 5.2 | 52 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| Benzene | ND | ND | 0.6 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 0.02 | ND | 0.06 |
| Methyl Cyclohexane | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ND | 0.03 | 0.3 |
| Total Hydrocarbons | 150 | 24.2 | 175.6 | 36.8 | 625.1 | 2.02 | 1.33 | 7.86 |

Sample Depth, Feet

BORING B6

Sample Depth, Feet

| Chemical Compound | 6 | 11 | 16 | 21 | 26 | 31 | 36 |
|--------------------|--------|--------|------|-------|-------|------|------|
| oluene | 1200 | 230 | 17 | 290 | 65 | 29 | 1.8 |
| (ylene | 1100 | 640 | 8.0 | 130 | 26 | 9.8 | 0.7 |
| thyl Benzene | 290 | 190 | ND | 32 | 8.5 | 4.3 | 0,2 |
| Senzene | 3.1 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 0.01 |
| fethyl Cyclohexane | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.2 |
| otal Hydrocarbons | 2593.1 | 1061.9 | 25.5 | 458.2 | 103.2 | 43.4 | 2.9 |

BORING B8

Sample Depth, Feet

| Chemical Compound | 6 | 11 | 16 | 21 | 26 | 31 | 36 |
|--------------------|-----|--------|------|------|------|-----|------|
| Toluene | 0.5 | 430 | 0.06 | 0.4 | 4.5 | 0.3 | 0.05 |
| Xylene | ND | 550 | 0.2 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 0.9 | 0.05 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 0.3 | 200 | 0.4 | 16.0 | 0.81 | 0.1 | ND |
| Benzene | ND | 18 | ND | 0.2 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Methyl Cyclohexane | 1.0 | 1100 | 0.7 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 0.1 | ND |
| Total Hydrocarbons | 1.8 | 2298.0 | 1.4 | 27.7 | 18.0 | 2.1 | 0.2 |

NOTES:

| N Siton | ι. | ND = Not detected within laboratory limits. | |
|-----------------------------------|----|---|--|
| N. Shur | 2. | NA = Not analyzed. | |
| UC Berkeley - NTUA Presentation - | 3. | Analyzed by modified U.S. EPA Test Method 8020. | |



Figure 4: Distribution of Contaminants In Soil

Mechanisms of LNAPL movement at the site

XV.a.



Figure XV.a. Beads initially moist; diameter range = 0.85 - 1.23 mm. PER then dripped in from above. Most of the PER flowed out, leaving isolated drops in the internal portions of the pore spaces.

Figure XV.b. Beads initially dry; diameter range = 0.85 - 1.23 mm. PER then dripped in from above; it occupied the corners of the pore spaces. Beads then saturated from below with water; PER thereby forced into the smaller pore spaces.



From Pantazidou 1993

Conclusions

- Principal release of solvents from the tanks occurred over 10 to 15 years prior to discovery during a period of low water table
- Separate phase liquids lighter than water can be trapped below the water table when the water table fluctuates
- Shallow clay and silty clay layers often contain fractures that act as conduits for contaminants and cannot be considered impermeable

What happened at the site?

- The tanks were emptied immediately after discover of leakage and they were eventually removed
- To soil immediately around the tanks was removed
- Groundwater recovery system was installed and pumping continues to this day.