



Aspects of Contorted Geometry In The Early Universe And The Related Formalism

Panagopoulos Georgios, ge19007

Supervisor: Nikolaos E. Mavromatos

Undergraduate Thesis Defense, 03/10/2024

School of Applied Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Department of
Physics

National Technical University of Athens

✉ ge19007@mail.ntua.gr



Motivation

- General Relativity has proven to be an extremely accurate theory, but something is missing: spin.
- Spin is a fundamental quantity in Quantum theories. In fact, the positive energy irreducible representations of the Poincaré group, which are associated with particles, are indexed by *mass* and *spin* (Schwichtenberg 2018).
- In order to get one step closer to Quantum Gravity: Search for a minimal extension of General Relativity that incorporates spin.
- The answer is simple: Assume a non-vanishing torsion!



Outline

- Einstein-Cartan Theory (Formalism)
- QED in Contorted Spacetime
- String-Inspired Inflation Due To Torsion



Einstein-Cartan Theory (Formalism)



Vielbeins

- The theory in which torsion doesn't vanish is called Einstein-Cartan. It is vastly useful, if not necessary, to reformulate using "vielbeins" (Carroll 2019).
- Imagine constructing an orthonormal basis of vectors $\hat{e}_a|_p$ at each point of the manifold. Spacetime is locally flat, and thus:

$$g(\hat{e}_a, \hat{e}_b) = \eta_{ab} \quad (1)$$

Latin indices \rightarrow flat spacetime (tangent space)!

- Thus, we have passed from a general basis $\hat{e}_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$ to an orthonormal one, \hat{e}_a , called a *vierbein* or *tetrad*. The two bases are connected via the transformation law:

$$\hat{e}_\mu = \hat{e}_\mu{}^a \hat{e}_a \quad (2)$$

The transformation matrix $\hat{e}_\mu{}^a$ is called a *vierbein field*.



Vielbeins

- The vierbein fields satisfy the orthogonality relations:

$$\hat{e}_\mu^a \hat{e}^\mu_b = \delta_b^a \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{e}^\mu_a \hat{e}_\nu^a = \delta_\nu^\mu \quad (4)$$

- We can also identify: $\hat{e}^\mu \equiv dx^\mu$ and thus:

$$dx^\mu = \hat{e}^\mu_a \hat{e}^a \quad (5)$$

where \hat{e}^μ_a is the inverse of \hat{e}_μ^a .

- Through the condition (1) the metric, initially given as $g = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$, can be expressed in terms of the vierbein fields as:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} \hat{e}_\mu^a \hat{e}_\nu^b \quad (6)$$



Coordinate Transformations & Spin Connection

- Greek indices transform with General Coordinate Transformations (GCT), while Latin indices transform with Local Lorentz Transformations (LLT). Example:

$$T^{a'\mu'}_{b'\nu'} = \Lambda^{a'}_a \frac{\partial x^{\mu'}}{\partial x^\mu} \Lambda^b_{b'} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x^{\nu'}} T^{a\mu}_{b\nu} \quad (7)$$

- The covariant derivative in General Relativity is given as:

$$\bar{\nabla}_\mu v^\nu = \partial_\mu v^\nu + \bar{\Gamma}^\nu_{\mu\lambda} v^\lambda \quad (8)$$

We claim that, for a vector expressed in Latin indices, a similar equation holds:

$$\bar{\nabla}_\mu v^a = \partial_\mu v^a + \bar{\omega}_\mu{}^a{}_b v^b \quad (9)$$

The quantity $\bar{\omega}_\mu{}^a{}_b$ defines the so-called *spin connection* (Carroll 2019), which is anti-symmetric in a, b .



Spin Connection

- The spin connection coefficients are given in terms of the Γ symbols:

$$\bar{\omega}_{\mu}{}^a{}_b = \hat{e}^{\lambda}{}_b \hat{e}_{\nu}{}^a \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\nu} - \hat{e}^{\lambda}{}_b \partial_{\mu} \hat{e}_{\lambda}{}^a \quad (10)$$

- The covariant derivative of a tetrad field vanishes:

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\mu} \hat{e}_{\nu}{}^a = \partial_{\mu} \hat{e}_{\nu}{}^a - \hat{e}_{\sigma}{}^a \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\sigma} + \bar{\omega}_{\mu}{}^a{}_b \hat{e}_{\nu}{}^b = 0 \quad (11)$$

This is called the *tetrad postulate*.

- The spin connection coefficients transform as [\(Yopez 2011\)](#):

$$\bar{\omega}_{\mu}{}^{a'}{}_{b'} = \bar{\omega}_{\mu}{}^c{}_b \Lambda^b{}_{b'} \Lambda^{a'}{}_c - \Lambda^b{}_{b'} \partial_{\mu} \Lambda^{a'}{}_b \quad (12)$$

and thus do not form a tensor, much like the Γ symbols.



Differential Forms Viewpoint

- Differential Forms: Fully anti-symmetric lower index tensors. Examples: X_μ is a 1-form, $A_{\mu\nu}$, where μ, ν anti-symmetric is a 2-form and so on. What about mixed-index objects like $X_\mu{}^a$?
- Fundamental change of viewpoint: View mixed-index tensors as tensor-valued differential forms. Examples: $X_\mu{}^a$ is a vector-valued differential form. It's a differential form for each value of a .
- This viewpoint is useful because we can suppress the Greek indices by writing the objects in differential form notation. For example:

$$\bar{\omega}^a{}_b = \bar{\omega}_\mu{}^a{}_b dx^\mu \quad (13)$$



Exterior Covariant Derivative

- The derivative operator for scalar-valued differential forms (no Latin indices) is the exterior derivative:

$$(dX)_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}X_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}X_{\mu} \quad (14)$$

- This derivative operator is not suitable for tensor-valued differential forms, as the result does not transform properly under LLTs. To amend, we define the *Exterior Covariant Derivative* operator:

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{D}X)_{\mu\nu}{}^a &= (dX)_{\mu\nu}{}^a + (\bar{\omega} \wedge X)_{\mu\nu}{}^a \\ &= \partial_{\mu}X_{\nu}{}^a - \partial_{\nu}X_{\mu}{}^a + \bar{\omega}_{\mu}{}^a{}_b X_{\nu}{}^b - \bar{\omega}_{\nu}{}^a{}_b X_{\mu}{}^b \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_{\mu}X_{\nu}{}^a - \bar{\nabla}_{\nu}X_{\mu}{}^a\end{aligned} \quad (15)$$



Exterior Covariant Derivative

- In differential form notation, we can write this as:

$$(\bar{D}\mathbf{X})^a = (D\mathbf{X}^a) = d\mathbf{X}^a + \bar{\omega}^a_b \wedge \mathbf{X}^b \quad (16)$$

- For a general tensor-valued p -form, the exterior covariant derivative is given as (Duncan, Kaloper, and Olive 1992):

$$(\bar{D}\mathbf{X})^{a\dots b\dots} = (d\mathbf{X})^{a\dots b\dots} + (\bar{\omega}^a_c \wedge \mathbf{X}^{c\dots b\dots}) + \dots - (-1)^p (\mathbf{X}^{a\dots d\dots} \wedge \bar{\omega}^d_b) - \dots \quad (17)$$



Cartan's Structure Equations

- From the metric compatibility condition $\bar{\nabla}g = 0$ we get that the spin connection coefficients are anti-symmetric in their Latin indices:

$$\bar{\omega}_{\mu ab} = -\bar{\omega}_{\mu ba} \quad (18)$$

- Expressing the torsion and curvature tensors in terms of the vierbeins and spin connection coefficients leads to Cartan's Structure equations (Duncan, Kaloper, and Olive 1992):

$$\mathbf{T}^a = \bar{D}\hat{e}^a = d\hat{e}^a + \bar{\omega}^a_b \wedge \hat{e}^b \quad (19)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{R}}^a_b = d\bar{\omega}^a_b + \bar{\omega}^a_c \wedge \bar{\omega}^c_b \quad (20)$$

where $\mathbf{T}^a = T^a_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$ is the torsion 2-form and torsion is defined in terms of affine connection as $T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = 2\bar{\Gamma}^\lambda_{[\mu\nu]}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{R}}^a_b = \bar{R}^a_{b\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$ is the curvature 2-form.



Bianchi Identities

- Taking the exterior covariant derivatives of Cartan's Structure equations leads to the Bianchi identities:

$$\bar{D}T^a = \bar{D}^2 \hat{e}^a = \bar{R}^a_b \wedge \hat{e}^b \quad (21)$$

$$\bar{D}\bar{R}^a_b = 0 \quad (22)$$

- These correspond to the familiar Bianchi identities ([Carroll 2019](#)):

$$\bar{R}^p_{[\sigma\mu\nu]} = 0 \quad (23)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{[\lambda} \bar{R}^p_{\sigma|\mu\nu]} = 0 \quad (24)$$



Contorsion

- The contorted Γ symbols can be split into parts with and without torsion (Nakahara 2003):

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}(T^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu}^{\lambda}{}_{\nu} + T_{\nu}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu}) \quad (25)$$

where the $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ are the familiar Christoffel symbols.

- We define the *contorsion* tensor:

$$K^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(T^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu}^{\lambda}{}_{\nu} + T_{\nu}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu}) \quad (26)$$

such that $\bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + K^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}$.

- The torsion tensor is anti-symmetric in its lower indices, $T^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = -T^{\lambda}_{\nu\mu}$ and thus the contorsion tensor is anti-symmetric in its first and third indices:

$$K_{\lambda\mu\nu} = -K_{\nu\mu\lambda} \quad (27)$$



Contorsion & Spin Connection

- The contorsion tensor can also be used to split the spin connection into two parts with and without torsion:

$$\bar{\omega}^a{}_b = \omega^a{}_b + K^a{}_b \Leftrightarrow \bar{\omega}_{\mu}{}^a{}_b = \omega_{\mu}{}^a{}_b + K^a{}_{\mu b} \quad (28)$$

- The torsionless part is defined such that:

$$D\hat{e}^a = d\hat{e}^a + \omega^a{}_b \wedge \hat{e}^b = 0 \quad (29)$$

where D is a torsionless exterior covariant derivative and

$$\bar{D} = D + K^a{}_b \wedge \quad (30)$$

- Furthermore, the torsionless spin connection coefficients are also anti-symmetric:

$$\omega_{ab} = -\omega_{ba} \quad (31)$$



Contorsion & Cartan's Structure Equations

- The first of Cartan's Structure equations becomes:

$$\mathbf{T}^a = \mathbf{K}^a{}_b \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^b \quad (32)$$

- By defining a torsionless curvature tensor:

$$\mathbf{R}^a{}_b = d\omega^a{}_b + \omega^a{}_c \wedge \omega^c{}_b \quad (33)$$

which obviously satisfies the Bianchi identity $D\mathbf{R}^a{}_b = 0$, the second of Cartan's Structure equations becomes:

$$\bar{\mathbf{R}}^a{}_b = \mathbf{R}^a{}_b + D\mathbf{K}^a{}_b + \mathbf{K}^a{}_c \wedge \mathbf{K}^c{}_b \quad (34)$$



Einstein-Cartan Action

- The Einstein-Cartan Action describing contorted spacetime is simply ([Duncan, Kaloper, and Olive 1992](#)):

$$S_G = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \bar{R} \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (35)$$

- It is useful to write it in differential form notation ([Gasperini 2017](#)):

$$S_G = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{ab} \wedge *(\hat{\mathbf{e}}^a \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}^b) \quad (36)$$

- After some computations, we end up with:

$$S_G = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int (R + \Delta) \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (37)$$

where Δ is a scalar produced by contractions of the contorsion tensor.



Einstein-Cartan Action

- The contorsion tensor has 24 independent components and can be decomposed into a vector part containing 4 of them and a tensor part containing the other 20 (Cvitanović 2008):

$$K_{abc} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abcd} S^d + \hat{K}_{abc} \quad (38)$$

- This, in turn, leads to the splitting of the scalar term Δ in two parts:

$$\Delta = \frac{3}{2} S_d S^d + \hat{\Delta} \quad (39)$$

- Therefore, the Einstein-Cartan action can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} S_G &= \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \bar{R} \sqrt{-g} d^4x \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int (R + \hat{\Delta}) \sqrt{-g} d^4x + \frac{3}{32\pi G} \int \mathbf{S} \wedge * \mathbf{S} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$



QED in Contorted Spacetime



Spinor Covariant Derivative & Action Terms

- We define the spinor covariant derivative as (Duncan, Kaloper, and Olive 1992):

$$\bar{\mathbf{D}}\psi = \mathbf{d}\psi - \frac{i}{4}\bar{\omega}_{ab}\sigma^{ab}\psi \quad \& \quad \bar{\mathbf{D}}\bar{\psi} = \mathbf{d}\bar{\psi} + \frac{i}{4}\bar{\omega}_{ab}\bar{\psi}\sigma^{ab} \quad (41)$$

where $\sigma^{ab} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma^a, \gamma^b]$.

- To get the fermionic action, we take the one used for free fermions in flat spacetime and replace the partial derivative with a covariant derivative $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\mu = \bar{\mathbf{D}}_\mu - ieA_\mu$, thus getting:

$$S_{\text{QED}}^{\text{Curved+Torsion}} = \frac{1}{2} \int (i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\mu\psi) + h.c.) \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (42)$$



Spinor & Electromagnetic Action

- Considering that the fermionic axial current is defined as:

$$j_{\mu}^5 = \bar{\Psi} \gamma^d \gamma^5 \Psi \quad (43)$$

the fermionic action can be expanded and finally written as:

$$S_{QED}^{Curved+Torsion} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left[i \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \mathbf{D}_{\mu} \Psi - i (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \bar{\Psi}) \gamma^{\mu} \Psi \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4x + e \int (\bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \Psi A_{\mu}) \sqrt{-g} d^4x - \frac{3}{4} \int \mathbf{S} \wedge *j^5 \quad (44)$$

- We also add the (minimal) action for the EM field:

$$S_{EM} = -\frac{1}{4} \int F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} d^4x = -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathbf{F} \wedge * \mathbf{F} \quad (45)$$



The Equations of Motion

- By varying the total action with respect to the fields A_μ , S_μ , ψ , $\bar{\psi}$ & $g^{\mu\nu}$ we get the equations of motion.
- Variation with respect to A_μ (term (45)) gives us the Maxwell equations:

$$d\mathbf{F} = 0 \quad \& \quad d * \mathbf{F} = * \mathbf{j} \quad (46)$$

- Next, we vary with respect to S_μ . The relevant action is:

$$S_{Torsion} = \frac{3}{32\pi G} \int \mathbf{S} \wedge * \mathbf{S} - \frac{3}{4} \int \mathbf{S} \wedge * \mathbf{j}^5 \quad (47)$$

and the resulting equation of motion is

$$\mathbf{S} = 4\pi G \mathbf{j}^5 \quad (48)$$



The Equations of Motion

- Variation of the fermionic action (44) with respect to $\bar{\psi}$ results in the modified Dirac equation:

$$i\gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \psi - \frac{3}{4} S_\mu \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi = 0 \quad (49)$$

- Furthermore, the axial current j_μ^5 is classically conserved, i.e. $d * j^5 = 0$. As a consequence (from the Equations of Motion), torsion is also conserved classically:

$$\boxed{d * S = 0} \quad (50)$$

- Finally, variation with respect to the metric gives the Einstein equations:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} \quad (51)$$



The Equations of Motion

- There are three distinct contributions to the stress-energy tensor:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^A + T_{\mu\nu}^\psi + T_{\mu\nu}^S \quad (52)$$

- These are given as:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^A = F_{\mu\lambda}F_{\nu}{}^\lambda - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}F_{\lambda\rho}F^{\lambda\rho} \quad (53)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^S = -\frac{3}{16\pi G}(S_\mu S_\nu - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}S_\lambda S^\lambda) \quad (54)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^\psi = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{\psi}\gamma_{(\mu}\mathcal{D}_{\nu)}\psi - (\mathcal{D}_{(\mu}\bar{\psi})\gamma_{\nu)}\psi\right] + \frac{3}{4}S_{(\mu}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\nu)}\gamma^5\psi \quad (55)$$



Anomaly and Axions

- When we pass onto a Quantum theory, the axial current is no longer conserved due to an anomaly in the one-loop level:

$$\mathbf{d} * \mathbf{j}^5 = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi^2} \mathbf{F} \wedge \mathbf{F} - \frac{1}{96\pi^2} \text{tr}(\bar{\mathbf{R}} \wedge \bar{\mathbf{R}}) \quad (56)$$

Does this mean that the torsion S isn't conserved either?

- It is impossible to know the full quantum properties of torsion. We will hypothesize that these quantum properties are such that a suitable counterterm that maintains $\mathbf{d} * \mathbf{S} = 0$ at a quantum level exists.
- We can enforce this equation as a constraint in the path integral as a delta functional:

$$Z_S^C = \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{S} \delta(\mathbf{d} * \mathbf{S}) \exp \left[i \int \left(\frac{3}{32\pi G} \int \mathbf{S} \wedge * \mathbf{S} - \frac{3}{4} \int \mathbf{S} \wedge * \mathbf{j}^5 \right) \right] \quad (57)$$



Anomaly and Axions

- This delta functional can be written as:

$$\delta(\mathbf{d} * \mathbf{S}) = \int \mathcal{D}\Phi e^{i \int \Phi \mathbf{d} * \mathbf{S}} \quad (58)$$

where Φ is a scalar field.

- The resulting partition function is:

$$Z_S^C = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left[i \int \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{2f_\phi} j_\mu^5 (j^5)^\mu - \frac{1}{f_\phi} j_\mu^5 (\partial^\mu \phi) \right) \sqrt{-g} d^4x \right] \quad (59)$$

where $\Phi = \sqrt{\frac{3}{16\pi G}} \phi$ and $f_\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\pi G}}$.

- The static torsion field has thus been replaced by a pseudoscalar axion field which has a dynamical behaviour ([Duncan, Kaloper, and Olive 1992](#)).
- Effectively, QED on contorted spacetime is equivalent to QED in torsionless spacetime coupled to an axion.



Outlook on Einstein-Cartan Theories

- There are many different paths to explore from here. For example, we may consider non-minimal models where the EM field couples to torsion classically.
- Current hot topics include Cosmological models with torsion ([Mavromatos, Pais, and Iorio 2023](#)). These models predict that instead of a Big Bang, there was a Big Bounce. Similarly, black holes do not form singularities but reach a bounce and new universes are formed inside the event horizon ([Popławski 2012](#)). Research is ongoing!



String-Inspired Inflation Due To Torsion



String Theory Essentials

- String theory (Polchinski 1998; Green, Schwarz, and Witten 2012) predicts three massless gravitational fields (gravitational multiplet): the spin-0 Dilaton Φ , the spin-1 antisymmetric Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$ and the spin-2 graviton $g_{\mu\nu}$.
- The Kalb-Ramond field has a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry:

$$B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu} + \partial_{[\mu}\theta_{\nu]} \quad (60)$$

- In the low energy regime, the action depends on the strength of the Kalb-Ramond field:

$$H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_{[\mu}B_{\nu\rho]} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{H} = d\mathbf{B} \quad (61)$$



String Theory Essentials

- Due to the presence of anomalies and our desire of their cancellation, the field strength of the Kalb-Ramond field has to be modified as:

$$\mathbf{H} = d\mathbf{B} + \frac{a'}{8\kappa}(\Omega_{3L} - \Omega_{3Y}) \quad (62)$$

where Ω_{3L} , Ω_{3Y} are the Lorentz and gauge Chern-Simons terms respectively.

- The Bianchi identity that results from this equation is:

$$d\mathbf{H} = \frac{a'}{8\kappa} \text{Tr}(\mathbf{R} \wedge \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{F} \wedge \mathbf{F}) \quad (63)$$



String Theory Essentials

- In index notation, this becomes:

$$\eta_{abc}{}^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{H}^{abc} = \frac{a'}{16\kappa} \sqrt{-g} (R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}) \equiv \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{G}(\omega, \mathbf{A}) \quad (64)$$

where η is the Levi-Civita *tensor* and \tilde{R} , \tilde{F} are the dual quantities defined as:

$$\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu\lambda\kappa} R^{\lambda\kappa}{}_{\rho\sigma} \quad (65)$$

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} \quad (66)$$

- After compactification, the effective string action in four spacetime dimensions is:

$$S_B = \int \left(\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} R - \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \mathcal{H}^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right) \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (67)$$

where we have assumed the Dilaton to be irrelevant, $\Phi \approx 0$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \kappa^{-1} H_{\lambda\mu\nu}$.



Torsion Induced Axion

- Comparison with the Einstein-Cartan action

$S_G = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int (R + \Delta) \sqrt{-g} d^4x$ indicates that the Kalb-Ramond field strength plays the role of torsion in this effective field theory. A contorted connection can thus be defined as:

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}} \mathcal{H}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} \quad (68)$$

- We can enforce the Bianchi identity in the partition function of this action, just like in Einstein-Cartan theory:

$$Z_H = \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \delta(\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{H}_{\nu\rho\sigma} - \mathcal{G}(\omega, \mathbf{A})) e^{-i \int \frac{1}{6} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \mathcal{H}^{\lambda\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} d^4x} \quad (69)$$

- The resulting action is characterized by the replacement of the Kalb-Ramond field strength with an axion b :

$$S_B = \int \left(\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} R - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} b \partial^{\mu} b - \frac{a' \sqrt{2}}{192\kappa} b (R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}) \right) \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (70)$$



The Cotton & Stress-Energy Tensors

- We study the inflationary period, so the gauge part of the anomaly vanishes.
- The presence of the anomaly term, which couples to the axion field, leads to the modification of the Einstein equations.
- There exists the usual matter (axion) stress-energy tensor:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^b = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_b}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = \partial_\mu b \partial_\nu b - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho b \partial^\rho b \quad (71)$$

- However, there is also another tensor, called the Cotton tensor, which comes from the anomaly term:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_C}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \quad (72)$$

where

$$S_C = \int b R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (73)$$



The Cotton & Stress-Energy Tensors

- Thus, the Einstein equations take the form:

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R = \frac{a'\kappa\sqrt{2}}{24}C^{\mu\nu} + \kappa^2 T_b^{\mu\nu} \quad (74)$$

- The matter stress-energy tensor $T_b^{\mu\nu}$ is no longer conserved because:

$$\nabla_\mu C^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{8}\partial^\nu b R^{\rho\lambda\sigma\kappa} \tilde{R}_{\rho\lambda\sigma\kappa} \quad (75)$$

- This happens because the axion is coupled to the gravitational field and there is an exchange of energy between them.
- Thus, we define a new, generalized stress-energy tensor:

$$\kappa^2 \tilde{T}_{total}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{a'\kappa\sqrt{2}}{24}C^{\mu\nu} + \kappa^2 T_b^{\mu\nu} \quad (76)$$

such that:

$$\nabla_\mu \tilde{T}_{total}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (77)$$



Running Vacuum Model

- The RVM serves as an alternative to Λ CDM.
- In the Λ CDM model, the vacuum energy density is:

$$\rho_{\Lambda} = \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} \quad (78)$$

- In the RVM model it is assumed to be a sum of even powers of the Hubble constant:

$$\rho_{RVM}(H) = \frac{\Lambda(H^2)}{8\pi G} = \frac{3}{8\pi G} \left(c_0 + \nu H^2 + \beta \frac{H^4}{H_I^2} + \dots \right) \quad (79)$$

where c_0 , ν , β are real constants, $H_I \sim 10^{-5} M_{Pl}$ is the inflationary scale and M_{Pl} is the Planck mass.



Gravitational Wave Condensate

- We consider (Dorlis, Mavromatos, and Vlachos 2024) tensor perturbations (gravitational waves) of the FLRW metric:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^i dx^j \quad (80)$$

- Assume an action of the form:

$$S = \int \left(\frac{R}{2\kappa^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu b)(\partial^\mu b) - AbR_{CS} \right) \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (81)$$

where $R_{CS} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the gravitational Chern-Simons term and $A = \frac{a'\sqrt{2}}{192\kappa}$.



Gravitational Wave Condensate

- In the linear polarization basis,

$$h_{ij} = h_+ \epsilon_{ij}^{(+)} + h_\times \epsilon_{ij}^{(\times)} \quad (82)$$

and we can write the perturbation tensor as:

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} h_+ & h_\times & 0 \\ h_\times & -h_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (83)$$

- We can switch to the chiral basis,

$$h_{L,R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (h_+ \pm ih_\times) \quad (84)$$



Gravitational Wave Condensate

- In that case, the linearized Einstein equations become:

$$\square h_L = -\frac{4iA\kappa^2}{a^2} (2\dot{a}\dot{b} + a\ddot{b}) \partial_t \partial_z h_L - \frac{4iA\kappa^2 \dot{b}}{a} \partial_t^2 \partial_z h_L + \frac{4iA\kappa^2 \dot{b}}{a^3} \partial_z^3 h_L \quad (85)$$

$$\square h_R = +\frac{4iA\kappa^2}{a^2} (2\dot{a}\dot{b} - a\ddot{b}) \partial_t \partial_z h_R + \frac{4iA\kappa^2 \dot{b}}{a} \partial_t^2 \partial_z h_R - \frac{4iA\kappa^2 \dot{b}}{a^3} \partial_z^3 h_R \quad (86)$$

- Therefore, left and right handed gravitational waves behave differently. As such, the Chern-Simons term does not vanish:

$$R_{CS} = \frac{4i}{a^3} \left[(\partial_z^2 h_L \partial_z \partial_t h_R + a^2 \partial_t^2 h_L \partial_z \partial_t h_R + a\dot{a} \partial_t h_L \partial_z \partial_t h_R) - (L \leftrightarrow R) \right] + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \quad (87)$$



Gravitational Wave Condensate

- We can now quantize these gravitational waves and calculate the condensate $\langle R_{CS} \rangle_I$ during inflation:

$$\langle R_{CS} \rangle_I = -\mathcal{N}_I \frac{A \kappa^4 \mu^4}{\pi^2} \dot{b}_I H_I^3 \quad (88)$$

where \mathcal{N}_I is the density of gravitational wave sources during inflation and μ is the UV energy cutoff of the effective field theory we're working with, while \dot{b}_I symbolizes the axion field during the inflation era.

- Approximately, we have that ([Basilakos, Mavromatos, and Solà Peracaula 2020a](#)):

$$\dot{b}_I \sim \sqrt{2\epsilon} M_{Pl} H_I \quad (89)$$

where ϵ is a phenomenological parameter that we fix as $\epsilon \sim 10^{-2}$. Therefore, $\langle R_{CS} \rangle_I \sim H_I^4$.



Vacuum Energy Density

- The axion stress-energy tensor and cotton tensor each contribute a $\sim H_I^2$ term to the vacuum energy density:

$$\rho_b + \rho_{gCS} \simeq -0.496 \epsilon M_{Pl}^2 H_I^2 \quad (90)$$

- Post-quantization, the action can be written as:

$$S = \int \left(\frac{R}{2\kappa^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu b)(\partial^\mu b) - \frac{a'\sqrt{2}}{192\kappa} \bar{b}(x) \langle R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \rangle_I - \frac{a'\sqrt{2}}{192\kappa} : b(x) R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} : \right) \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (91)$$

- This new linear axion potential adds an additional term to the vacuum energy density, of order $\sim H_I^4$, because of the condensate:

$$\rho_\Lambda = 8.6 \times 10^{10} \sqrt{\epsilon} \frac{|\bar{b}(0)|}{M_{Pl}} H^4 \quad (92)$$

- The total vacuum energy density is then:

$$\rho_{vac}(H) = \rho_b + \rho_{gCS} + \rho_\Lambda = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon M_{Pl}^2 H^2 + 8.6 \times 10^{10} \sqrt{\epsilon} \frac{|\bar{b}(0)|}{M_{Pl}} H^4 \quad (93)$$



Inflation

- We have a RVM-type expression for the vacuum energy density with constants $c_0 = 0$, $\nu < 0$ and $\beta > 0$.
- The conservation of the total stress-energy tensor of vacuum matter and radiation ([Mavromatos 2022](#)) leads to the differential equation:

$$\dot{H} + \frac{3}{2}(1 + \omega_m)H^2 \left(1 - \nu - \beta \frac{H^2}{H_I^2} \right) = 0 \quad (94)$$

where $\omega_m = \frac{p_m}{\rho_m}$ and the subscript "m" refers to both matter and radiation.



Inflation

- We can solve this and get:

$$H(a) = \left(\frac{1-v}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{H_I}{\sqrt{Da^{3(1-v)(1+\omega_m)} + 1}} \quad (95)$$

where $D > 0$ is an integration constant.

- Since $v < 0$ and $\omega_m = 0$ in the vacuum, the power of a in the superscript is positive. In the early universe, $a \ll 1$ and thus $Da^{3(1-v)(1+\omega_m)} \ll 1$, which means that the Hubble parameter is mostly constant:

$$H \simeq H_I \quad (96)$$

- Therefore, inflation appears naturally in this model (no need for inflaton!).



Outlook

- The effects of torsion in the evolution of our universe do not end in the inflationary era ([Basilakos, Mavromatos, and Solà Peracaula 2020b](#)).
- The Kalb-Ramond axion field can be used to explain the matter/antimatter asymmetry observed in our universe.
- It also breaks CPT and Lorentz symmetry.
- In later stages of the universe, the axion can also acquire a mass, making it a candidate for Dark Matter.
- This means that if torsion exists, then it potentially plays a huge role in the evolution of the universe as we know it today.



Bibliography

-  Schwichtenberg, Jakob (2018). *Physics from Symmetry*. Springer International Publishing. ISBN: 9783319666310. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-66631-0. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66631-0>.
-  Carroll, Sean M. (2019). *Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity*. Cambridge University Press.
-  Yopez, Jeffrey (2011). “Einstein’s vierbein field theory of curved space”. In: *arXiv: General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology*. URL: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:118508699>.



Bibliography



Duncan, M.J, N Kaloper, and K.A Olive (1992). “Axion hair and dynamical torsion from anomalies”. In: *Nuclear Physics B* 387.1, pp. 215–235. ISSN: 0550-3213. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(92\)90052-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(92)90052-D). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/055032139290052D>.



Nakahara, M. (2003). *Geometry, Topology and Physics, Second Edition*. Taylor & Francis Incorporated. ISBN: 9781315275826. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315275826>. URL: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9781315275826/geometry-topology-physics-mikio-nakahara>.



Bibliography

-  Gasperini, Maurizio (2017). *Theory of Gravitational Interactions*. Springer International Publishing. ISBN: 9783319496825. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-49682-5. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-49682-5>.
-  Cvitanović, Predrag (2008). *Group Theory: Birdtracks, Lie's, and Exceptional Groups*. Princeton University Press. ISBN: 9780691118369. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7rnjq> (visited on 08/19/2024).
-  Mavromatos, Nick E., Pablo Pais, and Alfredo Iorio (Dec. 2023). "Torsion at Different Scales: From Materials to the Universe". In: *Universe* 9.12, p. 516. ISSN: 2218-1997. DOI: 10.3390/universe9120516. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/universe9120516>.



Bibliography

-  Popławski, Nikodem (May 2012). “Nonsingular, big-bounce cosmology from spinor-torsion coupling”. In: *Physical Review D* 85.10. ISSN: 1550-2368. DOI: 10.1103/physrevd.85.107502. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.85.107502>.
-  Polchinski, Joseph (1998). *String Theory*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press.
-  Green, Michael B., John H. Schwarz, and Edward Witten (2012). *Superstring Theory: 25th Anniversary Edition*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press.



Bibliography



Dorlis, Panagiotis, Nick E. Mavromatos, and Sotirios-Neilos Vlachos (2024). “Condensate-Induced Inflation from Primordial Gravitational Waves in String-Inspired Chern-Simons Gravity”. In: *arXiv: General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology*. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.09005>.



Basilakos, Spyros, Nick E. Mavromatos, and Joan Solà Peracaula (2020a). “Quantum anomalies in string-inspired running vacuum universe: Inflation and axion dark matter”. In: *Physics Letters B* 803, p. 135342. ISSN: 0370-2693. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135342>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269320301465>.



Bibliography



Mavromatos, Nick E. (2022). *Lorentz Symmetry Violation in String-Inspired Effective Modified Gravity Theories*. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2205.07044. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.07044>.



Basilakos, Spyros, Nick E. Mavromatos, and Joan Solà Peracaula (Feb. 2020b). “Gravitational and chiral anomalies in the running vacuum universe and matter-antimatter asymmetry”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 101 (4), p. 045001. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.101.045001. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.045001>.

Thank you for your attention!