







Example: Greece

- >60% of the territory is state-owned land highly protected by the Constitution;
- Private property rights are not highly protected; Lack of cadastre maps & of spatial data infrastructure (zoning maps, forest maps, etc);
- Inflexible, centrally driven, expensive and bureaucratic planning (6,000 Euros/ha- 15 years average duration) aiming to "control" development;
- Unplanned settlements with both formal & informal constructions exist; a *de facto* affordable housing policy; safe constructions-not many slums
- Result: ~1M constructions are without a building permit; ~72B Euros dead capital;
- Plus~>1.5M constructions with small informalities (illegal extensions, change of use, etc);
- □ Legalization is possible only through an enforcement of a detailed city plan





- political instability, deindustrialization, unemployment, migration;
- Newcomers in the cities are unwelcome; corruption in land privatization;
- Inflexibility in planning; costly and bureaucratic permitting procedure;
- Limited experience and *lack of interest* among the constructors *in serving* the housing needs of low and middle class customers;
- By 2010 the cities of Osh and Bishkek suffered from a rapid urban sprawl;
 ~5,000 hectares of informal settlements (~200,000 citizens) located in agricultural, protected, or high risk land. No infrastructure.
- All types of ID: 2 or 3 storey good constructions; brick one floor houses; one or two room huts constructed with mud, vulnerable to the weather conditions;
- Innovative WB policies: since 2000 title provision (~600,000 constructions were registered) & since 2008 infrastructure provision; still ~7,000 not legalized; plans to demolish half of those units
- Donor-funded infrastructure projects may be at high risk in future.



- Planning and building permitting is expensive and bureaucratic; it needs to be reconsidered;
- The elite formal citizens of Bishkek and the local administration see the growing urbanization process of the capital city as a burden rather than as a potential opportunity for economic growth, making population registration and acquisition of citizenship a hardship for newcomers;
- Providing ownership of land through social housing is costly, cannot satisfy unlimited beneficiaries and cannot be continued indefinitely. Instead, *modern affordable housing policies should be adopted together with a reorganization of the private construction sector*.

Identified causes								
Identifie		1909						
Causes	Montenegro	Albania	FYROM	Greece	Cyprus			
Migration/urbanization	yes	yes	yes	yes	-			
Centrally controlled / bureaucratic planning	yes	abandoned	changing	yes	-			
Ecological or other Constitutional concerns against development	yes	-	yes	yes	-			
No housing policy	yes	yes	yes	-	solved			
Refugees/displaced	yes	-	yes	-	solved			
Minorities, Roma	yes	yes	yes	yes	-			
Unclear property rights	yes	yes	yes	yes	-			
Inefficient property registration/planning systems	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes			
Costly/complicated construction permitting	yes	-	-	yes	-			
Poverty	yes	yes	yes	yes	-			
Desire for better housing	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes			
Market pressure/profit goal	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes			

Types of ID & formalization perspectives

Туре	Montenegro	Albania	FYROM	Greece	Cyprus
On state land	Yes after the provision of a plan and case by case consideration and direct negotiations	Yes purchase or lease	Yes purchase or lease	No? legally owned & registered land which is claimed by the state	-
On private land that belongs to another owner	Yes After direct negotiations	Yes compensation provided	Yes long term lease agreement	-	-
In violation of zoning	Yes following a thorough revision	Yes	Yes	No	No
Without building permit in the unplanned areas	Yes following a thorough examination and detailed planning provision	Yes planning will follow legalization	Yes planning & infrastructure will follow legalization	Yes for 30 years requiring planning to be provided until then ~1,000,000	No ~40% of the single-family houses
In excess of the building permit	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes for 30 years ~1,500,000	Yes ~130,000 ~80% of condos
Total Size	130,000 objects	500,000 objects	350,000 objects	~2,500,000 objects	?

Legalization Framework								
	Montenegro	Albania	FYROM	Greece	Cyprus			
Responsible agency	Ministry for Spatial Planning & municipalities	Ministry of Public Works and Housing ALUIZNI special agency	Ministry of Transport & Communication & Municipalities	Ministry for Environment, Planning & Climate Change	Ministry of Interior			
Date of law adoption	?	2006	2011	2011, 2013	2011			
Popularity of the project	?	positive	positive	negative	negative			
Detailed seismic vulnerability controls prior to legalization	yes	-	-	yes visual control (by private sector)	(confirmed by the involved engineer)			
Detailed controls for environmental and construction standards prior to legalization	yes	-	(by authorities) on-site visual controls	(by the private sector) on-site visual controls	legalized ID exists within the plan only)			
Infrastructure provision	-	Not clear yet	At a later stage; funds from legalization	Basic infrastruct- ure exists already	(exists already)			
Speed (expected time for legalization)	10 years	declaration went fast Next steps are slow	fast	Slow Due to insecurity and costs	Slow; negative acceptance			
Affordability for primary housing	doubtful	positive	positive For housing: 1 Euro/m ²	doubtful ?% discount	doubtful			

Joint FIG/ UNECE WPLA publication

- A' part finalized by June 2014
- □ FIG peer review: Prof Paul van der Molen
- Main author: Prof Chryssy Potsiou with the contribution of other regional & international experts, UNECE WPLA bureau members
- Sponsors for printing: FIG, the Dutch Kadastre

All participating countries, experts, donors, FIG, UNECE WPLA, are acknowledged for their contribution